

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,068	03/01/2002	Torsten Grust	SVL920010034US1	4243	
23589 HOVEY WILI	7590 02/28/2008 LAMS LLP	EXAMINER			
10801 Mastin	10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000			NGUYEN, CINDY	
Overland Park, KS 66210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		· .	2161		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

B. Jack	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/090,068	GRUST ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRENT STACE	2161				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):					
(1) <u>Brent Stace</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jaclyn Alcantara</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 25 February 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>CORAL (NPL)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant contested some of the examiner's rejections</u> . <u>However, it appears that the prior art teaches the claimed limitations</u> . <u>Examiner Stace indicated amendments that may help to overcome the piror art cited</u> . <u>Examiner Stace also indicated that other interpretations of the prior art may exist that may also read on the claimed limitations</u> . <u>No agreement was reached with respect to the claims</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		•				
	/BRENT STACE/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner, Art Unit 2161 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				